citizens who are above the age of 65 years. However, at present, Government has not proposed for initiation of any other pension scheme for unorganised workers in the country.

Registration of inter-State migrant labourer

352. SHRI RAMACHANDRA KHUNTIA: Will the Minister of LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that inter-State migrant labourers are not registered before they are migrated to different States for work as per the requirement of inter-State Migrant Labour Act, 1979;

(b) how many persons have registered themselves as inter-State migrant labourer, State-wise; and

(c) the steps taken by the Regional Labour Commissioner (RLC) to punish the contractor who is exploiting the migrant labourer?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI OSCAR FERNANDES): (a) Section 8 of the Inter-State Migrant Workmen (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Act, 1979 lays down that no contractor shall recruit any person in State for the purpose of employing him in any establishment situated in another State except and in accordance with a licence issued in that behalf. There have been instances where violations of provisions of the Act have been detected. In central sphere wherever migrant workmen are noticed by the Labour Enforcement Officer (Central), inspections are conducted and show cause notices issued against the principal employers/contractors. 35 prosecutions have been launched in the Central sphere.

(b) and (c) As per the provisions of the Act, the principal employers are registered and contractors are given licence indicating the number of workmen. There is no provision for registering individual workman. In the Central sphere, 48 principal employers are registered and 51 contractors were issued licences involving 16849 migrant workmen. State-wise details have been shown in the Statement.

Statement

State-wise details of the principal employers registered and contractors issued licences

Sl. No.	Regions	Licence	No. of Registration	No. of Migrant labour	No. of prosecutions launched for violation of the Act
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Ahmedabad	6	3	1900	08
2.	Ajmer	18	12	—	—

1	2	3	4	5	6
3.	Asansol	—	—	—	—
4.	Bangalore	10	19	900	—
5.	Bhubaneswar	—	—	—	—
6.	Chandigarh	1	—	6	—
7.	Chennai	3	3	11679	01
8.	Cochin	—	—	—	—
9.	Dehradun				
10.	Dhanbad	—	—	—	—
11.	Guwahati	—	—	—	—
12.	Hyderabad	—	—	—	—
13.	Jabalpur	—	—	—	—
14.	Kanpur	—	—	—	—
15.	Kolkata	—	01	400	01
16.	Mumbai	04	06	314	12
17.	Nagpur	—	2	—	13
18.	New Delhi	—	—	—	—
19.	Patna	9	2	1650	—
20.	Raipur	—	—	—	—
	TOTAL	51	48	16849	34

Non payment of employees' contribution by Satyam

353. SHRI R.C. SINGH: Will the Minister of LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that as per the Employees Provident Fund Organization (EPFO) Satyam has only 43,622 employees against 53,000 claimed by the top management of the company;

(b) whether it is also a fact that management has defaulted on depositing the contribution collected from the employees' December salaries; and

(c) if the answer of parts (a) and (b) is in positive, the details and Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI OSCAR FERNANDES): (a) Yes, Sir. M/s. Satyam Computer Services, one of the Satyam